Neural Polysynthetic Language Modelling
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In danger

- Increasing understanding, reconciliation and international cooperation.
- Creation of favorable conditions for knowledge-sharing \& dissemination of good practices with regards to indigenous languages.
- Integration of indigenous languages into standard setting.
- Empowerment through capacity building.
- Growth and development through elaboration of new knowledge.
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## Inuit-Yupik-Unangam Tunuu language family

- Greenland (Inuit)
- Northern Canada (Inuit)
- Northern Alaska (Inuit)
- Western Alaska (Yup’ik)
- Southwestern Alaska (Sugpiak, Unangam Tunuu)
- St. Lawrence Island (Yupik)
- Big Diomede (Inuit)
- Far eastern Russia (Yupik, Sirenik)


## Inuit-Yupik-Unangam Tunuu language family



Since 1933, NLP technology has overwhelmingly focused on languages \& methodologies in which the word is the primary meaning-bearing unit


## For most human languages, this assumption is fundamentally broken






# $p\left(\tau_{t} \mid \tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{t-1}\right)$ 



$$
\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(\tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{t}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(\tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{t-1}\right)}
$$



## English

* actual data disparity is much much larger


## dog

## dogs



## qikmiq

## qikmik

qikmit

## qikmigka

## qikmigken

qikminka


## qikmiqa <br> qikmighpung <br> qikmighput

## There are $1.2 \times 10^{23}$

## stars in the observable universe.



## There are $1.2 \times 10^{23}$ possible Yupik word forms.



## Big data is NOT the solution.



## Modelling only at the word-level is like modelling only at a galaxy-level.
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## Course goals

- Learn about a new language from a reference grammar
- Demonstrate your understanding through writing and teaching
- Select a topic from computational linguistics applicable to this language
- Conduct a literature review, resulting in an annotated bibliography \& report on state of the art
- Perform research on this topic
- Identify state-of-the-art baseline, implement \& extend it, run experiments, write a paper
- Conduct extended research in a group
- Collaborate, experiment, and jointly author a paper
- Act as a peer reviewer for your classmates' work


## Demographics

- 1300 Yupiget on St. Lawrence Island
- 800 Yupiget on Russian mainland
- 300-400 Yupiget on Alaskan mainland


## Education

- 1930s-1950s Yupik materials developed in Russia
- 1970s-1990s Yupik materials developed in Alaska


## Language shift - Russia

- By mid-20th century, shift away from Yupik in Russia
- Current estimate of $<200$ L1 Yupik speakers in Russia
- Youngest L1 Russian Yupiget estimated age $>70$


## Language shift - St. Lawrence Island

- In 1980, nearly all St. Lawrence Island Yupiget children spoke Yupik at home
- By mid-1990s through early 2000s, shift away from Yupik among SLI youth
- All SLI Yupiget born 1980 or earlier assumed to be L1 Yupik
- Current estimate of at least 540 L1 Yupik speakers on SLI
- Youngest L1 SLI Yupiget not known


## Phonology \& Orthography

| Close | $\mathbf{i}$ |  | $\mathbf{u}$ | Latin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Vowels | i |  | $\mathbf{u}$ | IPA |
|  | $\mathbf{u}$ |  | $\mathbf{y}$ | Cyrillic |
| Mid |  | $\mathbf{e}$ |  | Latin |
| Vowel |  |  | $\partial$ | IPA |
|  |  | $\mathbf{u}$ | Cyrillic |  |
| Open | a |  | Latin |  |
| Vowel | $\mathbf{a}$ |  | IPA |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{a}$ |  | Cyrillic |

## Syllable structure

- Word-initial V(C)
- Otherwise CV(C)
- V may be short (e, a, i, u) or long (aa, ii, uu)
- Adjacent consonants only at syllable boundaries
- Adjacent consonant generally must agree in voicing


## Phonology \& Orthography

|  | Labial | Alveolar | Palata | Retroflex | Velar | Velar (rounded) | Uvular | Uvular (rounded) | Glott |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unvoiced Stops | p | t |  |  | k | kw | q | qw |  | Latin IPA Cyrillic |
|  | p | t |  |  | k | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}$ | q | $\mathrm{q}^{\text {w }}$ |  |  |
|  | II | T |  |  | к | кӱ | K | кў |  |  |
| Voiced Continuants | v | z | y | r | g | w | gh | ghw |  | Latin IPA Cyrillic |
|  | $v$ | 1 z | j | Ł | Y | $\gamma^{\text {w }}$ | к | $5^{\text {w }}$ |  |  |
|  | в | л 3 | и | p | r | (r) ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | r | rӱ |  |  |
| Unvoiced Continuants | f | II | s | rr | gg | wh | ghh | ghhw | h | Latin IPA Cyrillic |
|  | f | 4 | s | s | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\text {w }}$ | $\chi$ | $\chi^{\text {w }}$ | h |  |
|  | ф | ль | c | II | $\mathbf{x}$ | x ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | x | xy | г |  |
| Voiced Nasals | m | n |  |  | ng | ngw |  |  |  | Latin IPA Cyrillic |
|  | m | n |  |  | 1 | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | м | H |  |  | H | нў |  |  |  |  |
| Unvoiced Nasals | mm | nn |  |  | ngng | ngngw |  |  |  | Latin IPA Cyrillic |
|  | m | n |  |  | ท̊ | ทัw |  |  |  |  |
|  | мь | нь |  |  | нь | ньў |  |  |  |  |

## Legacy Digitization

- 3-volume Lore of St. Lawrence Island
- 3-volume Elementary Yupik readers
- 1-volume of Russian Yupik stories


## Intersecting machine learning \& linguistic fieldwork

- Yupik is polysynthetic, allowing for morphologically-complex words
(1) mangteghaghllangllaghyugtukut
mangteghagh- -ghllag- -ngllagh- -yug- -tu- $\quad-k u t$ house- -big- -build- -want.to- -INTR.IND- -1PL 'We want to build a big house'
- Yupik words typically adhere to the following template:

Root + 0-7 Derivational Morpheme(s) + Inflectional Morphemes + (Enclitic)

- Yupik is polysynthetic, allowing for morphologically-complex words
(1) mangteghaghllangllaghyugtukut

| mangteghagh- | -ghllag- | -ngllagh- | -yug- | -tu- | -kut |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| house- | -big- | -build- | -want.to- | -INTR.IND- | -1 PL |

'We want to build a big house'

- Yupik words typically adhere to the following template:

Root + 0-7 Derivational Morpheme(s) + Inflectional Morphemes + (Enclitic)

- Yupik is polysynthetic, allowing for morphologically-complex words
(1) mangteghaghllangllaghyugtukut $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { mangteghagh- } & \text {-ghllag- } & \text {-ngllagh- } & \text {-yug- } & \text {-tu- } & \text {-kut } \\ \text { house- } & \text {-big- } & \text {-build- } & \text {-want.to- } & \text {-INTR.IND- } & -1 \mathrm{PL}\end{array}$ 'We want to build a big house'
- Yupik words typically adhere to the following template:

Root + 0-7 Derivational Morpheme(s) + Inflectional Morphemes + (Enclitic)

- Yupik is polysynthetic, allowing for morphologically-complex words
(1) mangteghaghllangllaghyugtukut
mangteghagh- -ghllag- -ngllagh- -yug- -tu- $\quad$-kut house- -big- -build- -want.to- -INTR.IND- -1PL 'We want to build a big house'
- Yupik words typically adhere to the following template:

Root + 0-7 Derivational Morpheme(s) + Inflectional Morphemes + (Enclitic)

- Morphological analyzers may be implemented as a

Finite-State Transducer


## Neural Network



- Neural systems require LOTS of data
- But Yupik is a low-resource language
- Very few surface form-lexical form pairs available
- OBJECTIVE: Analyze inflected Yupik nouns with no derivational morphology
- TRAINING DATA: Every nominal surface form and its respective lexical form
- 3873 Yupik noun roots
- 273 inflectional suffixes
- $3873 \times 273=1,057,329$ total nouns
- 658,410 after removing duplicate surface forms (case syncretism)

| Surface Form | Lexical Form |
| :--- | :--- |
| mangteghaq | mangteghagh[N][ABS][SG] |
| mangteghaat | mangteghagh[N][ABS][PL] |
| mangteghaak | mangteghagh[N][ABS][DU] |
| mangteghaa | mangteghagh[N][ABS][SG][3SGPOSS] |

- EVALUATION OBJECTIVES
- Evaluate on a neutral dataset
- Contrast performance with the FST analyzer
- neUtral dataset: Mrs. Della Waghiyi's St. Lawrence Island Yupik Texts With Grammatical Analysis (Waghiyi \& Nagai, 2001)
- Identified 344 inflected nouns with no derivational morphology
- Supplemented the FST analyzer with a guesser module
- Results:

|  | Coverage (\%) | Accuracy (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FST (No Guesser) | 85.96 | 79.82 |
| FST (w/Guesser) | 100 | 84.50 |
| Neural | 100 | 91.81 |


| Background | St. Lawrence Island Yupik |
| :--- | :--- |
| 000000 | 000000000000000000 |

- An out-of-vocabulary (OOV) root is an unattested root that appears in the Waghiyi \& Nagai (2001) evaluation dataset but does not appear in our data

| OOV Root | FST | NN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| aghnasinghagh | - | - |
| aghveghniigh | - | $\checkmark$ |
| akughvigagh | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| qikmiraagh | - | - |
| sakara | $\checkmark$ | - |
| sanaghte | - | - |
| tangiqagh | - | $\checkmark$ |

- A root with a spelling variant is one that differs in the Waghiyi \& Nagai (2001) evaluation set from its form in our data

| Root Variant | FST | NN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| melqighagh | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| piitesiighagh | - | $\checkmark$ |
| uqfiilleghagh | - | $\checkmark$ |
| *ukusumun | - | $\checkmark$ |

## Building a virtuous cycle



- Digitization of legacy materials
- Pedagogical materials \& tools
- Orthographic experimentation
- Identify under-described phenomena
- Real-time morphological analysis
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## Moving forward

Moving forward -00000

$$
p(\boldsymbol{e})=p\left(e_{t} \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{t-1}\right)
$$

Moving forward $0 \bullet 0000$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\boldsymbol{e}) & =p\left(e_{t} \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{t-1}\right) \\
& \approx p\left(e_{t} \mid e_{t-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moving forward 0000000000000000000000000
Intersecting machine learning \& linguistic fieldwork

1. Zero-order approximation XFOML RXKHRJFFJUJ ZLPWCFWKCYJ FFJEYVKCQSGXYD QPAAMKBZAACIBZLHJQD

2. First-order approximation OCRO HLI RGWR NMIELWIS EU LL NBNESEBYA TH EEI ALHENHTTPA OOBTTVA NAH BRL
3. Second-order approximation

ON IE ANTSOUTINYS ARE T INCTORE ST BE S DEAMY ACHIN D ILONASIVE TUCOOWE AT TEASONARE FUSO TIZIN ANDY TOBE SEACE CTISBE
5. First-Order Word Approximation REPRESENTING AND SPEEDILY IS AN GOOD APT OR COME CAN DIFFERENT NATURAL HERE HE THE A IN CAME THE TO OF TO EXPERT GRAY COME TO FURNISHES THE LINE MESSAGE HAD BE THESE.
6. Second-Order Word Approximation

THE HEAD AND IN FRONTAL ATTACK ON AN ENGLISH WRITER THAT THE CHARACTER OF THIS POINT IS THEREFORE ANOTHER METHOD FOR THE LETTERS THAT THE TIME OF WHO EVER TOLD THE PROBLEM FOR AN UNEXPECTED

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\boldsymbol{e}) & =p\left(e_{t} \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{t-1}\right) \\
& \approx p\left(e_{t} \mid e_{t-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moving forward $000 \bullet 00$

$$
p(\boldsymbol{e})=p\left(e_{t} \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{t-1}\right)
$$

Moving forward 000000


Moving forward 0000 ○

- Legacy text digitization
- Web portal / interactive e-books
- App-based dictionary
- Language learning lessons
- foma-based spell-checker
- Forced aligner / speech recognizer
- Machine translation


# Feature-rich Open-vocabulary Interpretable Language Modelling 

## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation

үaławtəma

"with the head"

## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation

yaławtəma

"with the head"

## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation



## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation



## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation


"with the head"

## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation


"with the head"

Symbolic structure $\rightarrow$ Tensor representation $\rightarrow$ Vector representation

## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation



Decompose into fillers and roles.
(Smolenksy 1990)

## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation



Embed the fillers and roles into vectors
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## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation



Embed the fillers and roles into vectors
(Smolenksy 1990)

$$
\operatorname{Repr}(\mathbf{\lambda}-\mathbf{m a})=\left(\hat{\mathbf{\gamma}} \bigotimes \hat{r}_{1}+\hat{\boldsymbol{a}} \bigotimes \hat{r}_{2}+\hat{\mathbf{m}} \bigotimes \hat{r}_{8}+\hat{\boldsymbol{a}} \bigotimes \hat{r}_{9}\right) \bigotimes \hat{r}_{m_{1}}
$$

## Tensor Morpheme Representation



Embed the fillers and roles into vectors
(Smolenksy 1990)

$$
\operatorname{Repr}(\mathrm{ya}-\mathrm{ma})=\left(\hat{\mathrm{\gamma}} \otimes \hat{r}_{1}+\hat{\mathrm{a}} \otimes \hat{r}_{2}+\hat{\mathrm{m}} \otimes \hat{r}_{8}+\hat{\mathrm{a}} \otimes \hat{r}_{9}\right) \otimes \hat{r}_{m_{1}}
$$

## Interpretable Tensor Morpheme Representation



1. Deterministically create these with FST for known sequences
2. Learn them with neural model (e.g. RNN seq2seq) to generalize

Embed the fillers and roles into vectors
(Smolenksy 1990)

## Deterministically construct morpheme tensors

a. Run morphological analyzer on training data to identify morphemes
qikmighhaak $\xrightarrow{a}$ qikmigh - ghhagh - [Abs.Du]
"Two small dogs"

## Deterministically construct morpheme tensors

a. Run morphological analyzer on training data to identify morphemes
b. Use Tensor Product Representation to deterministically calculate morpheme tensors
qikmighhaak $\xrightarrow{a}$ qikmigh - ghhagh - [Abs.Du]
"Two small dogs"
"dog - small.N - [Abs.Du]"
b


## Deterministically construct morpheme tensors

a. Run morphological analyzer on training data to identify morphemes
b. Use Tensor Product Representation to deterministically calculate morpheme tensors
c. Save these morpheme tensors for later use as gold standard labels
 morpheme tensors

Autoencoder


High dimensionality:
$10^{3}-10^{9}$ floats per vector



High dimensionality: $10^{3}-10^{9}$ floats per vector


## Low dimensionality: 64 floats per vector

(St. Lawrence Island Yupik)

## Use autoencoder to learn morpheme vectors



High dimensionality: $10^{3}-10^{9}$ floats per vector


> Low dimensionality:
> 64 floats per vector

Dictionary of constructed morpheme tensors

## Problem: Morpheme tensors are sparse



As a result, learning signal is very weak.

## Solution: Unbinding Loss



| qikmigh | ghhagh | [Abs.Du] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Use loss function based on reconstructed strings.

